SM Exhibit Y

	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	
5	ADRIAN SCHOOLCRAFT,
6	Plaintiff,
7	-against-
8	
9	THE CITY OF NEW YORK, DEPUTY CHIEF MICHAEL
10	MARINO, Tax ID. 873220, Individually and in
11	his Official Capacity, ASSISTANT CHIEF
12	PATROL BOROUGH BROOKLYN NORTH GERALD
13	NELSON, Tax Id. 912370, Individually and in
14	his Official Capacity, DEPUTY INSPECTOR
15	STEVEN MAURIELLO, Tax Id. 895117,
16	Individually and in his Official Capacity,
17	CAPTAIN THEODORE LAUTERBORN, Tax Id.
18	897840, Individually and in his Official
19	Capacity, LIEUTENANT WILLIAM GOUGH, Tax Id.
20	919124, Individually and in his Official
21	Capacity, ST. FREDERICK SAWYER, Shield No.
22	2567, Individually and in his Official
23	Capacity, SERGEANT KURT DUNCAN Shield No.
2 4	2583, Individually and in his Official
25	Capacity, LIEUTENANT CHRISTOPHER BROSCHART,

	Page 2
1	
2	Tax Id. 915354, Individually and in his
3	Official Capacity, LIEUTENANT TIMOTHY
4	CAUGHEY, Tax Id. 885374, Individually and
5	in his Official Capacity, SERGEANT SHANTEL
6	JAMES, Shield No. 3004, Individually and in
7	his Official Capacity, and P.O.'s"JOHN DOE"
8	#1-50, Individually and in their Official
9	Capacity, (the name John Doe being
10	fictitious, as the true names are presently
11	unknown) (collectively referred to as "NYPD
12	Defendants"), JAMAICA HOSPITAL MEDICAL
13	CENTER, DR. ISAK ISAKOV, Individually and
14	in his Official Capacity, DR. LILLIAN
15	ALDANA-BERNIER, Individually and in her
16	Official Capacity, and JAMAICA HOSPITAL
17	MEDICAL CENTER EMPLOYEE'S"JOHN DOE" #1-50,
18	Individually and in their Official
19	Capacity, (the name John Doe being
20	fictitious, as the true names are presently
21	unknown),
22	Defendants.
23	
24	111 Broadway
25	New York, New York

Page 3 1 November 7, 2013 2 3 10:10 A.M. 4 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY CONFIDENTIAL 5 PORTION of DEPOSITION of THEODORE 6 LAUTERBORN, the Defendant in the 7 above-entitled action, held at the above 8 time and place, taken before Dawn Miller, a 9 Notary Public of the State of New York, 10 pursuant to court order and stipulations 11 between Counsel. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	Page 13
1	
2	Q. Did you consider this a transfer
3	or demotion or promotion transfer in
4	November 2009?
5	MS. METTHAM: Objection. You
6	can answer.
7	A. Transfer.
8	Q. Was there any change in your pay
9	grade?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Was there any change in your
12	title?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Who made the decision to transfer
15	you?
16	MS. METTHAM: Objection. You
17	can answer.
18	A. Specifically, I don't know.
19	Q. Do you have any understanding, at
20	all, as to who was involved in the decision
21	to transfer you?
22	A. No.
23	Q. What's your date of birth?
24	MS. METTHAM: Objection. I'll
25	allow him to answer with the year of

	Page 14
1	
2	his birth.
3	Q. All right. What is the year of
4	your birth?
5	A. 1969.
6	Q. Where do you, currently, reside?
7	MS. METTHAM: Objection. I
8	will only allow you to answer whether
9	you live in the five boroughs.
10	A. I live within the five boroughs.
11	Q. Have you provided an address to
12	the court reporter?
13	A. As to?
14	Q. Your address?
15	A. My home address, no.
16	Q. What address have you provided?
17	A. My employer's address.
18	Q. What is that?
19	A. One Police Plaza.
20	Q. I understand.
21	MR. SMITH: I think we have
22	done this before, Suzanna. Will the
23	Law Department accept a subpoena for
24	trial for Captain Lauterborn in the
25	event that one needs to be issued?

Page 15 1 MS. METTHAM: If he's still 2 employed by the NYPD at the time of 3 trial, we will accept a subpoena on 4 his behalf. 5 MR. SMITH: If he's not 6 employed, will you provide me with a 7 contact address including home 8 address, emergency contact information 9 so if I need to, I can issue a 10 subpoena for your appearance. 11 MS. METTHAM: In no case would 12 I provide you with his emergency 13 contact information but we would take 14 it under advisement and help to secure 15 a subpoena on him at that time if it 16 were so necessary. 17 MR. SMITH: Okay. 18 Are you being represented in the 19 0. 20 action? Yes, I am. 21 Α. By whom? 22 Q. By the New York City Law 23 24 Department. Do you have any understanding as 25 Q.

Page 67 1 phone, this could end. " Is that a correct 2 3 statement? MS. METTHAM: Objection. You 4 can answer. 5 Again, that appears to be 6 7 correct. Am I correct, that as of the time 8 that you were having this conversation with 9 Larry Schoolcraft, if Adrian Schoolcraft 10 had called you on the phone and told you he 11 was okay, you would have stopped your 12 investigation into the whereabouts of 13 Officer Schoolcraft; is that correct? 14 MS. METTHAM: Objection. Asked 15 and answered. 16 MR. KRETZ: Objection. 17 Early on, yes, that was my 18 Α. intention of this, if I heard from Adrian 19 Schoolcraft on the phone and I felt 20 everything was okay with him, he was just 21 sick, it probably, yes, would have ended at 22 23 that point. Q. In fact, isn't it also true that 24 if Larry Schoolcraft had acted as an 25

Page 68

1

2

3

4

5

intermediary and had communicated to you that he spoke to Adrian and confirmed to you that Adrian was okay, that you would have been satisfied and your investigation into the whereabouts and condition of Officer Schoolcraft would have stopped?

8 9

10

7

MS. METTHAM: Objection. You

can answer.

At the time, I wanted to go that way but as I was talking to Mr. Schoolcraft, I really wasn't sure about his authentic declaration of him as far as his

whereabouts it was gonna be acceptable but, again, it was all in the situation, I would have to take that, you know, more of the

atmosphere of the conversation into

consideration as to whether or not I was

going to do that. 19

20

I think I understand what you're saying to me. I just want to clarify.

22

21

17

18

Am I correct; there was a point during the day of October 31st 2009 when

24

23

you would have been satisfied with a report

25

back from the father that the son was okay;

	Page 69
1	
2	is that a fair statement.
3	MS. METTHAM: Objection. You
4	can answer.
5	A. Again, all things being
6	considered, I would have probably used his
7	father, yeah.
8	MR. KRETZ: Objection.
9	Q. Then is it also fair to say that
LO	during the course of the day, your
11	willingness to accept the father's
12	statement that he was okay, no longer
13	became something you were willing to
1 4	accept; is that right?
1 5	MS. METTHAM: Objection. You
16	can answer.
1 7	A. Pretty much.
18	Q. Isn't it true that later on that
19	day you felt that it was important that
2 0	you, at least, be able to speak to Officer
2 1	Schoolcraft; isn't that correct?
22	MS. METTHAM: Objection. You
23	can answer.
2 4	A. That's correct.
25	Q. If you had spoken, at least at

	Page 70
1	
2	some point during the day to Officer
3	Schoolcraft, there was a point in time
4	where merely speaking to him would have
5	been sufficient to satisfy your concerns
6	about his whereabouts and condition?
7	MS. METTHAM: Objection. You
8	can answer.
9	MR. KRETZ: Objection.
L 0	A. Again, that was early on in the
11	investigation.
L 2	Q. I just want to make the record
L 3	clear. That doesn't I understand what
L 4	you're saying. I don't think the record is
15	clear about that you're saying.
16	Early on in the investigation
17	into the condition and whereabouts of
18	Officer Schoolcraft, there was a point
19	where simply speaking to Officer
2 0	Schoolcraft on the phone would have
21	satisfied your concerns; is that correct?
2 2	MS. METTHAM: Objection. Asked
2 3	and answer. You can answer again.
2 4	A. That's correct.
2 5	MR. KRETZ: Objection.

	Page 105
1	
2	to me or they would have the doctor call me
3	but I don't remember, you know, having that
4	type of conversation one hundred percent.
5	But a doctor got back to me during the day.
6	Q. Am I correct; that in your P.G.
7	you were asked by IAB whether or not you
8	conferred with the Medical Division; isn't
9	that correct? You were asked that
LO	question?
11	A. If I conferred with the Medical
12	Division at all that day, yes.
13	Q. IAB asked you that question, you
14	told them that you called out to them but
15	you never spoke them; isn't that right?
16	MS. METTHAM: Objection.
17	Misstates prior testimony and the
18	testimony you read from the P.G. You
19	can answer.
2 0	MR. KRETZ: Objection.
21	A. Yes, I mean, I wasn't recalling
2 2	correctly but eventually I did get in touch
23	with a doctor and spoke to a female doctor.
2 4	Q. I understand that. What I want
25	to know is, whether or not the statements

25

	Page 106
1	
2	that you made to IAB were, in fact, a
3	mistake?
4	A. There was some of it that was a
5	mistake.
6	Q. One of the mistakes was that you
7	told IAB that you called out to the Medical
8	Division but you forgot about the call and
9	you did speak to them?
LO	MS. METTHAM: Objection.
L 1	A. That's what I said here.
L 2	Q. In fact, in hindsight, looking at
L 3	other things, you now realize you forgot
L 4	about that conversation; you did have a
L 5	conversation with somebody in the Medical
16	Division, isn't that right?
17	MS. METTHAM: Objection. You
18	can answer.
19	A. That's correct.
2 0	Q. Turning to Page 67 of the
2 1	transcript; am I correct, that you told IAB
2 2	that you did not see any department
2 3	property in Schoolcraft's residence on
2 4	October 31st 2009?
25	A. That's what I remember, yeah.

	LAGIBABOAT
	Page 210
1	
2	residence; do you see that?
3	MS. METTHAM: Can you give us
4	the line?
5	MR. SMITH: Top of the page.
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Did you dispatch Broschart to go
8	to Schoolcraft's residence, right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. When Broschart got there and
11	learned what he learned from the landlord,
12	did he then convey to you the fact that the
13	landlord had told Broschart that they
14	believed that Schoolcraft was in his house?
15	MS. METTHAM: Objection.
16	A. At some point, he did, yeah.
17	Q. At some point before you entered,
18	right?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Later on in that same section of
21	Page 2, you refer to a conversation that
22	you had with Dr. Lamstein; do you see that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Is it correct she told you she
25	didn't think Schoolcraft was a threat to

Ī	
	Page 238
1	
2	MS. METTHAM: Objection. You
3	can answer.
4	A. Going through the proper
5	procedures, yes.
6	Q. I suspect the proper procedure is
7	to fill out a Sick Report?
8	A. Not at that point.
9	Q. Well, that's what he did. He
LO	filled out a Sick Report; didn't he?
L1	A. There has to be further
L 2	notification being made and I never saw a
L 3	Sick Report he wrote out.
14	Q. You never saw a Sick Report?
1 5	A. I don't remember seeing one.
16	Q. I show you what's been marked as
17	Exhibit Number 26.
18	MR. SMITH: Mark this as
19	Plaintiff's 26. Bates Stamp Number
2 0	NYC 286061.
21	(Sick Report was marked as
2 2	Plaintiff's Exhibit 26 for
23	identification. Exhibit retained by
2 4	counsel.)
2 5	Q. Do you recognize this as a Sick

	Page 241
1	
2	the time, female Sergeant.
3	Q. She said something to you?
4	A. I asked. I think I asked her. I
5	don't know how it came about but he didn't
6	call in his sick or neither did she, if
7	that's the case.
8	Q. She could have called it in, too?
9	A. If Schoolcraft was unable to.
L O	Q. She could have called it in or he
L 1	could have called it in, right?
L 2	MS. METTHAM: Objection.
L 3	A. Since Adrian Schoolcraft was
L 4	there, he could call it in. If somebody
L 5	calls in sick from home, the Sergeant would
L 6	call the Medical Division for that person.
L 7	Q. It's your understanding that if
L 8	somebody is on duty or about to report to
L 9	duty and they report to a superior that
2 0	they're sick and they're too sick to
21	actually fill out the whole report,
2 2	somebody else can do it for them; is that
2 3	right?
2 4	MS. METTHAM: Objection.
2 5	A. If they were too sick, they felt

Page 288 1 Who did you reach out to? 2 Q. I had the Sergeant ask amongst 3 Α. the Police Officers that were working that 4 day if they had Schoolcraft's personal cell 5 phone number so that we could call it. 6 Did you get an answer to that 7 8 question? I recall that she had spoke a 9 Police Officer that had his cell phone who 10 tried calling it. I'm not sure if that 11 person left a message or not but it went to 12 voicemail, he never picked up. 13 What was the next thing you did? 14 Q. Then I could still try to have 15 the Sergeant reach out to him with his cell 16 phone hoping that he would pickup. 17 What was the next thing that 18 Q. happened after that? 19 At a certain point, Inspector 20 Mauriello comes in, I let him know what was 21 Then more members of the 4 to 12 22 going on. tour were coming in. I had asked the 23 Sergeant to check in with those Police 24

Officers and see if they had his cell phone

25

	Page 289
1	
2	and if there was somebody else that could
3	reach out to him.
4	Q. When you told Mauriello what was
5	going on, what did he tell you?
6	A. I gave him the run-down of what
7	happened a short time ago and he asked me
8	what I was doing and I told him, "We are
9	trying to reach out to him and see the
10	extent of his sickness and why he left the
11	way he did."
12	Q. Did Mauriello tell you to notify
13	anybody about your investigation into
14	Schoolcraft's status or sickness?
15	MS. METTHAM: Objection. You
16	can answer.
17	A. No, I don't believe he did.
18	Q. What happened next?
19	A. Again, in summary, there was a
20	new tour coming in. I had approached
21	Lieutenant Broschart about him having to go
22	to Adrian Schoolcraft's house to see if he
23	went home.
24	Q. You told Broschart to go to his
25	home and find out if he was there; is that

Page 290 1 right? 2 Yes. 3 Α. What happened next? 4 Q. I was waiting for Broschart to 5 Α. get to his house to see the results of 6 7 that. You were waiting at the 81? 8 Q. 9 Α. Yes. Did Broschart eventually report 10 Q. back to you? 11 Yes, he did. 12 Α. When did he do that? 13 Q. I don't know the exact time but 14 there was a point where he either -- he 15 reached out to me or I called him. From 16 what I could remember, he said he tried 17 knocking on the door, yelling Adrian's 18 name, there was no answer. He interviewed 19 the landlord who said that he had come 20 home. I don't know if he said he saw him 21 come home or he heard him upstairs, and 22 Lieutenant Broschart thought that he saw 23 movement through the front window, he lived 24 on the second floor, but he couldn't be one 25